



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,519	12/29/2000	Min Zhu	M-8862 US	8828
7590 08/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Philip W. Woo			MASKULINSKI, MICHAEL C	
c/o SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP 555 CALIFORNIA STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 5000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-1715			2113	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/751,519	ZHU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael C Maskulinski	2113	

THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>Applicant's arguments are not persuasive</u> .
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 9-16</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5-8</u> .
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). mailed 6/9/04; 8/6/04.
10.⊠ Other: <u>see attached paper no. 2</u>

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

**Advisory Action** 

Part of Paper No. 20040816

<sup>--</sup>The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/751,519

Art Unit: 2113

#### **Grounds for Rejection**

### **Double Patenting**

- 1. Claims 1, 9, and 13 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 9, and 13 of copending Application No. 09/752,376 in view of Hassett et al., U.S. Patent 6,173,311 B1, and further in view of Adrangi et al., U.S. Patent 6,687,846 B1.
- 2. Claims 1, 9, and 13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 7, and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,567,813 B1 in view of Hassett et al., U.S. Patent 6,173,311 B1, and further in view of Adrangi et al., U.S. Patent 6,687,846 B1.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-4 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hassett et al., U.S. Patent 6,173,311 B1, and further in view of Adrangi et al., U.S. Patent 6,687,846 B1.

RAUTU Seuss L. M., ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100